

HO CHICKEN IN BAC NINH PROVINCE (VIETNAM): FROM AN INDIGENOUS CHICKEN TO LOCAL POULTRY BREED¹

Nguyen Van Duy^{1,2}, Nassim Moula², Do Duc Luc^{1,3}, Pham Kim Dang³, Dao Thi Hiep³,
Bui Huu Doan³, Vu Dinh Ton^{3*} and Frédéric Farnir^{2*}

¹*Centre for Interdisciplinary Research on Rural Development, Vietnam National University of Agriculture, Vietnam;* ²*Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Liege, Liege 4000, Belgium;* ³*Faculty of Animal science, Vietnam National University of Agriculture, Vietnam*

Email: vdton@vnua.edu.vn; f.farnir@ulg.ac.be

ABSTRACT

The objectives of this study are to determine the morpho-biometric characteristics of Vietnamese indigenous Ho chicken breed and to evaluate its production, reproduction performance and egg quality. The study was carried out on 20 households raising purebred Ho chicken from September 2013 to April 2015 in Northern Vietnam. Morpho-biometric characterization was based on measurement of 128 adult individuals. The egg production was observed on 66 hens within 20 households. A total of 29 eggs were freshly collected from 8 hens at the 20th laying week for quality analysis. Ho chicken breed is a breed with a large dimension, a large diversity in the colors (black with gold hackle, black copper, wheat, tan and tricolor), a heavy weight (3.78 and 2.64 for adult males and females respectively), a low egg production (12.73 eggs/clutch) and a relatively low rate of embryo eggs (72.81%). The Ho is a chicken breed with a large dimension, a large diversity in the colors, a heavy weight, a low egg production and reproduction. A research to improve the egg production and reproduction should be undertaken to obtain a better performance, economic efficiency while maintaining this genetic heritage and sustaining the biodiversity of the avian breeds in Vietnam.

Keywords: Vietnam, indigenous chicken, biodiversity, productivity, egg quality.

Đặc điểm giống gà Hồ nuôi tại tỉnh Bắc Ninh (Việt Nam): Từ một giống gà nội đến giống gia cầm địa phương

TÓM TẮT

Mục tiêu của nghiên cứu này là nhằm xác định các đặc điểm ngoại hình, đánh giá khả năng sinh sản và chất lượng trứng của gà Hồ - một giống gà nội của Việt Nam. Nghiên cứu được thực hiện trên 20 nông hộ nuôi gà Hồ thuần tại miền Bắc Việt Nam, từ tháng 9/2013 đến tháng 4/2015. Đặc điểm sinh trắc học của gà Hồ được đánh giá trên 128 cá thể. Sản lượng trứng được theo dõi trên 66 gà mái tại 20 nông hộ. Chất lượng trứng được khảo sát trên 29 quả trứng của 8 gà mái ở tuần đẻ thứ 20. Gà Hồ là giống gà có kích thước lớn, có màu lông đa dạng (lông màu mận chín, màu đen ánh xanh (mã lĩnh), màu lông chim sè (mã sè), màu vỏ nhãn (mã nhãn) và màu đất sét (mã thố). Gà có khối lượng cơ thể lớn (gà trống đạt trung bình 3,78kg và gà mái đạt trung bình 2,64kg), năng suất trứng thấp (12,73 quả/ổ) và tỷ lệ trứng có phôi thấp (72,81%). Nghiên cứu nhằm cải thiện năng suất sinh sản ở gà Hồ là cần thiết, từ đó nâng cao năng suất và hiệu quả kinh tế trong chăn nuôi gà Hồ, đồng thời bảo tồn bền vững nguồn gen địa phương và đa dạng sinh học của các giống gia cầm Việt Nam.

Từ khoá: Việt Nam, gà nội, đa dạng sinh học, năng suất sinh sản, chất lượng trứng.

¹ The article was published in International Journal of Poultry Science 14(9): 521-528, 2015. ISSN 1682-8356.

1. INTRODUCTION

Livestock production is an important and promising agricultural sector in the context of an increasing world demand for animal products and their high added value (Delgado *et al.*, 1999). In a global environment with economic and ecological challenges for sustainable development, farming practices have been facing profound changes. In this context, the protection of biodiversity becomes a vital need, given the numerous pressures and threats it faces. The poultry production is no exception to the general phenomenon of genetic erosion (Besbes, 2009). A rapid spread of intensive farming practices with hybrid breeds has been led to the detriment of local chicken breeds, while these continue playing a central role in rural socio-economic development in various regions of the world.

In Vietnam, poultry farming is very important. According to the General Statistics Office of Vietnam (GSO), in 2013, the slaughtered poultry meat production in whole country accounted for 774.7 thousand tons and was ranked second after the pork production (GSO, 2014). Local breeds make up the largest part of the poultry population, the industrial lines only accounting for a small percentage. In 2003, in Vietnam, only 20% of the poultry herd originated from industrial chickens, mainly obtained by crossbreeding over a total number of 166.6 million chickens (Vang *et al.*, 1999; Eaton *et al.*, 2006). More recently, indigenous chicken breeds in Vietnam have been estimated to amount to 85% of the total chicken flock (Phan Dang *et al.*, 2009). According to Moula *et al.* (2012), the local chicken breeds in Vietnam include Ri, Te (or Lun, short leg), Tau Vang, Ac (black meat, white or black feather), Oke, H'mong, Tre, Choi (fighting chicken), PhuLuuTe, To, Dan Khao (six toes), Mia, Ho, Dong Tao (thick legs) and Van Phu. With a reproductive flock of 86.63 to 93.30 million individuals, the Ri breed was also commonly called "Gà Ta" (native chicken) accounting for 52 to 56% of total local chicken herd (Eaton *et*

al., 2006). Under the pressure of the rapid development of poultry production worldwide (Besbes, 2009), many local chicken breeds have been threatened with extinction. In Vietnam, the Mia, Ho and Dong Tao chicken breeds have been reported as endangered or critical breeds, while the Van Phu chicken breed has been lost during the last years (Tieu, 2009). However, in the developing countries like Vietnam, the local chicken breeds still play as an important tool for the rural development and poverty fighting. Moreover, any loss of biodiversity would potentially threaten these countries ability to meet the future ecological and economic challenges. In this context, and as a first step towards Vietnamese local breed conservation, this study aims to determine the morpho-biometric characteristics of the Ho chicken breed and to evaluate its growth and reproductive performance including laying rate and egg quality. This work plays as the foundation for a rehabilitation of Vietnamese local chicken breeds, which is especially suitable with less intensive farming conditions and that plays a paramount role on socio-economic and cultural aspects.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out on 20 households raising purebred Ho chicken from September 2013 to April 2015 in Ho town (located 30 km north of Hanoi), Thuan Thanh district, Bac Ninh province in Northern Vietnam.

2.1. Morpho-biometric characterization

Morpho-biometric characterization was based on measurement of 128 adult individuals (27 males and 101 females over six months of age). The body sizes were measured according to FAO standards (FAO, 2012). A mechanical scale (accuracy ± 10 gram) was used to weigh the chicken while a tape measure and an electronic sliding caliper (accuracy ± 0.01 mm) and a tape measure were used for measuring the body sizes. The collected traits were body weight and

the body sizes (body length, beak length, comb length, comb height, wattles length, wattles height, neck length, back length, wing length, thoracic perimeter, thigh length, tarsus length and tarsus diameter) together with information about the feather color, tarsus color, beak color, feathers color and comb type.

2.2. Egg production performance

The egg production was observed on 66 hens of different ages within 20 households. The hens were raised in traditional conditions inside simple houses to avoid the sun light and rain, and had a small playground for the chickens. The animals were fed by a mixed ration including paddy (20.45%), rice (27.97%), rice bran (10.88%), maize (8.39%), aquatic vegetable (30.36%) and industrial feed (1.95%). The nutrition components for this mixed ration were 5.3% crude protein, 2.47% ash, 0.26% calcium, 0.36% phosphorus and 2 483 kcal ME/kg. The eggs were collected daily and the incubation was made by hens or turkeys. The production traits were number of eggs per clutch, number of clutch per year, number of eggs/hen/year, number of embryonated eggs per clutch, rate of embryonated egg per clutch, number of chicks per clutch, rate of chicks born per clutch, number of chicks born alive per clutch, survival rate of chicks per clutch and number of chicks per year.

2.3. Egg quality

A total of 29 eggs were freshly collected from 8 hens at the 20th laying week for quality analysis. Twenty three eggs were used for quantitative traits and chemical composition analysis of eggs. The six others were sent to the National Institute for Food Control, Vietnam for determining amino acid, cholesterol, and omega contents. The quantitative traits were assessed through measurements of egg weight, yolk weight, shell weight, albumen weight, yolk diameter, albumen height, Haugh unit, maximum breakage force, yolk color, egg length, egg width and shape index. Egg chemical compositions were dry matter, ash, crude

protein and lipids while amino acids were aspartic acid, serine, glutamic acid, glycine, histidine, arginine, threonine, alanine, proline, cysteine, tyrosine, valine as well as cholesterol and omega 3.

The eggs were weighed using an electronic scale (accuracy 0.01 g). Lengths and widths were measured using an electronic sliding caliper (accuracy 0.01mm). Egg shape index was calculated by ratio between length and width. Eggs were broken with careful separation of yolk and albumen. The shell and yolk were weighed separately. Albumen weight was determined by subtracting the yolk and shell weights from the total egg weight. Yolk and albumen was used for chemical composition analysis. Chemical compositions were determined according to standard AOAC methods (AOAC, 1990) in the laboratories of the Faculty of Animal Science, Vietnam National University of Agriculture. Amino acid composition was analyzed using HPLC method while omega 3 and cholesterol using GCMS method in National Institute for Food Control, Vietnam.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using the general linear model procedure of SAS software (SAS, 1999) to determine the effect of the sex (male and female) on each morpho-biometric parameter. The descriptive statistics parameters were mean (Mean), standard deviation (SD), minimum (Min), maximum (Max).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Feather color and aspect

The feather colors and aspects of Ho chickens are presented in the table 1. Ho chickens are characterized by 5 basic feather colors (2 for males and 3 for females). Two main types of feather colors were observed for the males, with the black with gold hackle (Figure 1a) predominant over the black copper (Figure 1b). In parallel, for the females, wheat (Figure

2a) and tan color (Figure 2b) were the most frequently observed colors, with some hens being tricolor (2c).

Yellow red toe (Figure 3a), Yellow (Figure 3b) and White red toe (Figure 3c) are the three observed types of tarsus color. The first color is the main color for the males while the first and third were typical for the females (Table 1).

Three types of combs were found including Walnut (Figure 4a), Strawberry (Figure 4b) and Pea (Figure 4c). While the dominant type of combs was the walnut (74.07%) for the males while, the strawberry (48.52%) and the pea (39.60%) combs were frequently found in the females (Table 1).

Ho chickens had 2 main beak colors: the dark (Figure 5a) and the yellow (Figure 5b). The frequency of occurrence of these colors was also different between males and females. In the males, the two colors occurred with equal frequencies whereas yellow beaks were observed in most females (91.09%).

Another sexual dimorphism in Ho chickens can be observed through the differences in the feather colors of the ear. Black, Brown, Yellow and White ear feathers were observed, with the black color present only in the males, in which it is largely predominant (74.07%) while Brown (39.80%) and Yellow (57.14%) were found recently in the females (Table 1).

Table 1. Physical appearance characteristics of Ho chicken

Variable	Male		Female		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Feather color						
Black with gold hackle	20	74.07	-	-	20	15.75
Black copper	7	25.93	-	-	7	5.51
Wheat	-	-	55	55.00	55	43.31
Tan	-	-	34	34.00	34	26.77
Tricolor	-	-	11	11.00	11	8.66
Tarsus color						
Yellow, red toe	22	84.48	48	47.52	70	54.69
Yellow	1	3.70	6	5.94	7	5.47
White, red toe	4	14.81	47	46.54	51	39.84
Comb type						
Walnut	20	74.07	12	11.88	32	25
Strawberry	5	18.52	49	48.52	54	42.19
Pea	2	7.41	40	39.60	42	32.81
Beak colour						
Dark horn	14	51.85	9	8.91	23	17.97
Yellow	13	48.15	82	91.09	105	82.03
Feather color of ear						
Black	20	74.07	0	0.00	20	16.00
Brown	4	14.82	39	39.80	43	34.40
Yellow	2	7.41	56	57.14	58	46.40
White	1	3.70	3	3.06	4	3.20

Ho chicken in Bac Ninh province (Vietnam): from an indigenous chicken to local poultry breed



Figure 1a. Black with gold hackle feather



Figure 1b. Black copper feather



**Figure 2a.
Wheat color feather**



**Figure 2b.
Tan color feather**



**Figure 2c.
Tri color feather**



Figure 3a. Yellow red toe



Figure 3b. Yellow toe



Figure 3c. White red toe



Figure 4a. Walnut comb



**Figure 4b.
Strawberry comb**



Figure 4c. Pea comb



Figure 5a. Dark beak



Figure 5b. Yellow beak

3.2. Morphometric traits

The body weight and body sizes of Ho chickens are shown in the table 2. These values were significantly different between males and females. The males were heavier than females ($P < 0.001$) and body sizes were larger ($P < 0.001$) for all morphometric parameters. The coefficient of determination (R^2) ranged from 0.7 (for thigh length) to 0.18 (for thoracic perimeter).

3.3. Egg production performance

The results on the reproductive performances of Ho chicken are presented in the

table 3. The egg production of Ho chicken was low (Table 3). The number of eggs per hen per year varied largely between hens (min: 28 and max: 126). This tendency was observed for the other traits. The embryo eggs per clutch (9.25) and the rate of embryo eggs (72.81%) were also low for this breed. In average, a hen could give 41.09 chicks during a year.

The quantitative traits and chemical compositions of Ho chicken eggs are shown in the table 4. The quantitative egg traits were observed from 23 eggs whereas chemical compositions were from 14 eggs.

Table 2. Morpho-biometric traits of Ho chicken (Mean \pm SD)

Variable	Males (n=27)	Females (n=101)	P-value	R^2
Body weight (kg)	3.78 \pm 0.04	2.64 \pm 0.07	***	0.53
Body length (cm)	55.25 \pm 0.43	46.84 \pm 0.25	***	0.64
Beak length (mm)	42.88 \pm 0.58	37.73 \pm 0.34	***	0.26
Back length (cm)	26.07 \pm 0.35	22.45 \pm 0.20	***	0.33
Comb length (mm)	38.65 \pm 0.76	27.86 \pm 0.45	***	0.51
Comb height (mm)	18.63 \pm 0.62	8.96 \pm 0.39	***	0.58
VBreast length (cm)	21.05 \pm 0.22	17.32 \pm 0.13	***	0.57
Neck length (cm)	22.33 \pm 0.30	19.97 \pm 0.17	***	0.21
Tarsus length (cm)	9.78 \pm 0.13	7.56 \pm 0.07	***	0.57
Tarsus diam 1 (mm)	23.78 \pm 0.24	18.62 \pm 0.14	***	0.67
Tarsus diam 2 (mm)	19.69 \pm 0.29	15.31 \pm 0.17	***	0.51
Thigh length (cm)	19.84 \pm 0.18	16.03 \pm 0.10	***	0.70
Thoracic perimeter (cm)	36.13 \pm 0.40	33.30 \pm 0.24	***	0.18
Wattles length (mm)	31.15 \pm 0.91	22.88 \pm 0.65	***	0.32
Wattles height (mm)	27.05 \pm 1.27	13.89 \pm 0.93	***	0.38
Wing length (cm)	26.94 \pm 0.23	22.72 \pm 0.13	***	0.61

Note: diam 1: diameter at widest part of tarsus ; diam 2: diameter at thinnest part of tarsus ; ***= $P < 0.001$

Table 3. Reproductive performance of Ho chicken

Variable	n	Mean \pm SD	Min	Max
Number of eggs per clutch	66	12.73 \pm 2.16	7	19
Number of clutch per year	66	5.23 \pm 0.96	4	7
Number of eggs/hen/year	66	66.18 \pm 15.14	28	126
Number of embryonated eggs per clutch	65	9.25 \pm 2.37	5	17
Rate of embryonated egg per clutch (%)	65	72.81 \pm 11.36	46.15	93.75
Number of chicks born per clutch	64	8.03 \pm 2.53	0	13
Rate of chicks born per clutch (%)	64	63.39 \pm 17.05	0	92.31
Number of chicks born alive per clutch	64	7.92 \pm 2.60	0	13
Survival rate of chicks per clutch (%)	64	95.68 \pm 17.73	0	100
Number of chicks per year	64	41.09 \pm 13.75	0	70

The amino acid, cholesterol and omega 3 contents in the yolk and albumen are shown in the Table 6. Cholesterol and omega 3 in yolk was significantly higher than in the albumen ($P < 0.01$). Among 16 amino acids, the content of 8 acids

(aspartic acid, glutamic acid, glycine, alanine, tyrosine, valine, leucine and phenylalanine) was not significantly different between albumen and yolk ($P > 0.05$). Cystine and methionine content in yolk was lower than in albumen ($P < 0.05$).

Table 4. Quantitative traits and chemical composition of Ho chicken eggs

5	n	Mean \pm SD	Min	Max
Egg weight (g)	23	52.49 \pm 3.59	44.60	59.50
Yolk weight (g)	23	16.59 \pm 1.76	12.40	18.70
Egg shell weight (g)	23	5.26 \pm 0.56	3.90	6.20
Albumen weight (g)	23	30.51 \pm 2.50	27.10	35.10
Yolk diameter (mm)	23	42.85 \pm 1.55	39.61	45.10
Albumen height (mm)	23	6.11 \pm 1.14	3.80	8.00
Haugh Unit	23	79.28 \pm 8.58	58.70	92.60
Maximum breakage force (N)	23	34.99 \pm 6.98	15.87	47.74
Yolk color (score 1-15)	23	8.09 \pm 2.17	4	11
Egg length (mm)	23	53.09 \pm 1.98	48.83	56.01
Egg width (mm)	23	41.89 \pm 1.26	40.16	44.37
Shape index (%)	23	79.01 \pm 3.75	73.89	87.72
Dry matter (%)	14	30.15 \pm 2.07	24.04	32.47
Ash (%)	14	1.85 \pm 0.41	1.01	2.44
Crude protein (%)	14	13.03 \pm 0.96	11.49	14.28
Lipid (%)	14	11.44 \pm 2.25	6.82	14.00

Table 5. Amino acid and cholesterol composition in eggs of Ho chicken (Mean \pm SD)

Variable	Albumen (n=6)	Yolk (n=6)	P-value	R2
Cholesterol (mg/100g)	18.78 \pm 4.12	309.50 \pm 58.31	***	0.09
Omega 3 (mg/100g)	0.18 \pm 0.22	48.33 \pm 22.56	**	0.68
Aspartic acid (mg/g)	8.39 \pm 1.40	9.78 \pm 0.83	NS	0.30
Serine (mg/g)	3.50 \pm 0.76	4.82 \pm 1.19	*	0.43
Glutamic acid (mg/g)	11.17 \pm 1.85	12.96 \pm 1.30	NS	0.27
Glycine (mg/g)	2.23 \pm 0.39	2.45 \pm 0.18	NS	0.14
Histidine (mg/g)	2.25 \pm 0.49	2.79 \pm 0.18	*	0.39
Arginine (mg/g)	4.39 \pm 0.74	5.80 \pm 0.90	**	0.47
Threonine (mg/g)	2.94 \pm 0.56	4.07 \pm 0.57	**	0.55
Alanine (mg/g)	4.70 \pm 0.79	5.01 \pm 0.40	NS	0.07
Proline (mg/g)	2.79 \pm 0.46	3.96 \pm 0.41	***	0.68
Cystine (mg/g)	0.71 \pm 0.15	0.48 \pm 0.09	***	0.50
Tyrosine (mg/g)	2.52 \pm 0.53	2.29 \pm 0.98	NS	0.02
Valine (mg/g)	5.96 \pm 0.98	6.67 \pm 0.63	NS	0.18
Methionine (mg/g)	2.98 \pm 0.52	2.41 \pm 0.24	*	0.38
Lysine (mg/g)	5.52 \pm 0.88	7.68 \pm 1.28	**	0.54
Isoleucine (mg/g)	4.31 \pm 0.69	5.49 \pm 0.60	**	0.50
Leucine (mg/g)	6.42 \pm 1.03	8.07 \pm 1.50	NS	0.33
Phenylalanine (mg/g)	4.72 \pm 0.82	4.44 \pm 0.33	NS	0.05

Note: *: $P < 0.05$; **: $P < 0.001$; ***: $P < 0.0001$; NS: $P \geq 0.05$

4. DISCUSSION

The morphological characteristics of the Ho chicken show a large feather colors diversity. This result was also found out in the study of (Doan and Luu, 2006). The diversity of the feather colors of Ho chickens suggests genetic variation in the population of this chicken breed. Through a long historical period, Ho chickens have been raised in the household conditions of the rural area in Vietnam, where many different chicken breeds are grown in the same region. Accordingly, these individuals could go freely from a household to other households without a separating fence, meaning that crosses between the chicken breeds have not been controlled. Nevertheless, some breeding objectives have been pursued. Indeed, this chicken is a traditional product used in important ceremonies associated with spiritual

life of Vietnamese people (Moula *et al.*, 2012; Lan Phuong *et al.*, 2015). Cocks with yellow feather colors are normally chosen for the sacrifice (Luan, 2014). As could be expected, this study results have shown that the yellow feather color was dominant in the cock population. This finding is similar to the research results of Doan and Luu (2006) and Thuy (2010). The feather colors in Ho cocks and hens are divided into two obvious separate groups. In detail, the cocks have the dark feather color while the hens have the lighter one. This conclusion was also confirmed by Doan and Luu (2006).

In the Red River Delta of northern Vietnam, the hens are rarely used in the traditional ceremonies. The hens are mainly raised by the farmers to produce the eggs and chicks. The farmers tend to choose the hens with lighter feather colors because they think these chickens

will have a higher reproductive performance. The present research also showed that chickens with the light feather colors were predominant in the hen population. Keambou et al. (2007) noted that the local tropical hens have feathers allowing them to have a better ability to adapt to the weather conditions in their territories.

Leg colors of Ho chickens were rather diverse, with the chickens having yellow shank, red phalanx slots, hock and leg edge being predominant in both cocks and hens populations. In the Ho chicken population, the yellow leg occurred with a low percentage in cocks (3.70%) and hens (5.94%). According to the elder farmers who have a profound knowledge of Ho chickens, the ancient purebred chickens had yellow legs without mixture with the other colors. However, farmers wanted to improve the legs size to follow the consumer demand. Therefore, Dong Tao chickens (one local chicken breed) were used to cross with Ho chickens in order to produce hybrids with big legs. The current mixed color could relate to the hybridization of Ho chicken population. Additionally, legs play also an important role in the traditional ceremonies such as for national New Year and wedding events (Luan, 2014). Besides that, many Vietnamese people prefer chicken legs as eating hobby. Chicken legs are easily found in the traditional restaurants today.

For the cocks selected for the sacrifice, the comb is an important selection criterion. The local people often choose chickens with bright red combs as the ripe strawberry color and the comb has to be tidy to avoid the situation that the comb be fallen to one side after boiling, because, according to the local people, when the comb is fallen, it means that their business will not be favorable. The comb type of Ho chicken over the years have also been selected according to the farmers orientation, so all three comb types of Ho chickens have tidy shapes, with the walnut comb type accounting for a predominant percentage in the cocks population while the strawberry comb is in the majority in the hens population.

With a long history under the pressures of natural and artificial selection processes, Ho chicken has adapted well to the local conditions in the Red River Delta, Northern Vietnam. The direct observation and description of the phenotype of Ho chicken is an important work to provide information for the research targeting the exploitation of the breed and its sustainable conservation. The phenotypic diversity in the existing Ho chickens population reveals the introduction of exotic genes resources into the pure Ho chicken population. This is a threat introducing concerns for the genetic resource erosion of the Ho chicken herd. However, these hybridizations also provide the opportunity to create new and better individuals, fitted to the nowadays conditions while preserving the main characteristics of the original breed.

Ho chicken is one of the local breeds in Vietnam with a heavy weight. The body weight of adult cocks and hens were 3.78 kg and 2.64 kg on average respectively. These results are similar to previous researches (Doan and Luu, 2006; Thuy, 2010; Moula *et al.*, 2012). According to Cuc (2010), the weights of adult Ho chickens are among the largest, with Dong Tao (3.5 - 4.5kg) and Choi (3.5 - 5kg). Along with the large weight, the body sizes of Ho chickens such as the body length, wing length, leg length and neck length were also particularly large.

Being used in ceremonial activities, the Ho cock is also drawn on folk drawings on a special paper type and hung solemnly in the living room inside the houses in the Red River delta. Ho chickens are presented in a traditional festival organized in the early spring in Ho town, Bac Ninh province to select beautiful and representative chickens. That is one of the reasons for the farmers to often select Ho chickens with long legs, long neck and large body shape for raising. As mentioned above, the average neck lengths of the cocks and the hens were 22.33 and 19.97 cm respectively while the average body length of the cocks and the hens were 42.88 and 37.73 cm respectively. For comparison, the average neck lengths of Ri

chickens were from 17.85 cm to 19.18 cm (cock) and from 14.66 cm to 15.26 cm (hen); the average body lengths were from 36.65 cm to 38.85 cm (cock) and from 30.54 cm to 32.96 cm (hen) (Moula *et al.*, 2012).

In the present study, the eggs production varied largely between hens. This result indicated that selection tools were not applied in the household system. The average egg production of Ho chickens is similar to the study of Doan and Luu (2006). The average egg production for one clutch was low. The low laying performances of local chicken breeds have been confirmed in many related studies (Moula *et al.*, 2012; Moula *et al.*, 2012), with the Ho breed ranking among the worst in terms of eggs production by hen by year (Cuc, 2010).

When finishing each clutch, the eggs move to the hatching period. In Ho town, local people still use hens or turkeys as incubation. Many farmers used turkeys for hatching instead of Ho hens. According to the farmers, Ho hens have a heavy weight so it is difficult for them to move into the clutch, or to manipulate the eggs, which leads to a high risk of breaking the eggs. The Ho hen were considered bad broody because she do not have many feathers to maintain heat. Each year, the number of laying cycle of Ho chicken is low. The rate of eggs leading to an embryo was low. This might due to the heavy cock weights, leading to mating difficulties. The egg weight of Ho chickens was higher than those of other local chicken breeds such as Dong Tao (48.5g), H'Mong (42.5g) and Te chicken (41.62 g) (Lung *et al.*, 2004; Thieu *et al.*, 2004; Thieu *et al.*, 2004). This tendency was also observed for the weight of the yolk (Lung *et al.*, 2004; Thieu *et al.*, 2004; Thieu *et al.*, 2004). The Haugh unit of Ho egg is lower than the egg of H'Mong chicken, Te chicken (Lung *et al.*, 2004; Thieu *et al.*, 2004; Thieu *et al.*, 2004). The amino acid contents in the yolk of Ho were lower in comparison with previous researches (My *et al.*, 2010; Genchev, 2012). The feeds using in the different systems might affect the eggs quality.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The Ho is a chicken breed with a large dimension, a large diversity in the colors, a heavy weight, a low egg production and reproduction. A research to improve the egg production and reproduction should be undertaken to obtain a better economic efficiency while maintaining this genetic heritage and sustaining the biodiversity of the avian breeds in Vietnam.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by Ministry of Education and Training and Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, code: 16/2012/HD-HTQTSP.

REFERENCES

- AOAC (1990). Official methods of analysis. 15th edition Edn., Arlington, VA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Besbes, B. (2009). Genotype evaluation and breeding of poultry for performance under sub-optimal village conditions. *World's Poult. Sci. J.*, 65: 260-271.
- Cuc, N., T., K. (2010). Vietnamese local chicken breeds: Genetic diversity and prioritizing breeds for conservation. Georg-August Universität, Göttingen, Germany: pp: 118.
- Doan, B., H. and N. Luu, V. (2006). A survey on distribution, conformation, growth and productivity of ho chicken. *Journal of Sciences and Development* 4(4-5): 95-99.
- Delgado, C., M. Rosegrant, H. Steinfeld, S. Ehui and Courbois C. (1999). Livestock to 2020 the next food revolution. Discussion paper. A 2020 Vision for Food, Agriculture, and the Environment 28, International Food Policy Research Institute, <http://www.ifpri.org/sites/default/files/publications/vb61.pdf>, (23/12/2014).
- Eaton, D., J. Windig, S. Hiemstra, M. van Veller, N. Trach, P. Hao, B. Doan and R. Hu (2006). Indicators for livestock and crop biodiversity. Centre for Genetic Resource, the Netherlands, CGN/DLO Foundation, Wageningen: pp: 22-24.
- FAO (2012). Phenotypic characterization of animal genetic resources, fao anial production and health. Rome: Food and agriculture organization of united nations.

- Genchev, A. (2012). Quality and composition of japannese eggs (*coturnix japonica*). *Trakia Journal of Sciences*, 10(2): 91 - 101.
- GSO (2014). Statistical handbook of viet nam. General statistic office: Statistical Publishing House.
- Keambou, T., Y. Manjeli, J. Tchoumboue, A. Tegua and R. Iroume (2007). Caractérisation morpho-biométrique des ressources génétiques de poules locales des hautes terres de l'ouest cameroun. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 19(8).
- Lan Phuong, T. N., K. D. T. Dong Xuan and I. Szalay (2015). Traditions and local use of native vietnamese chicken breeds in sustainable rural farming. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 71(02): 385 - 396.
- Luan, L. D. (2014). Aspects of the vietnamese traditional culture through a proverb. *Language and Life*, 3(185): 36 - 38.
- Lung, B., D. V. Hung, T. and D. Luong, L. (2004). Report keeping gene bank of dong tao chicken. In: Workshop conservation of livestock gene fund 1990 - 2004. National Institute of animal sciences, Hanoi, Vietnam, pp. 107 - 122.
- Moula, N., N. Antoine-Moussiaux, L. Do Duc, D. Pham Kim, T. Vu Dinh, B. Dang Vu, P. Leroy and F. Farnir (2012). Comparaison de la qualité des œufs de deux races de poules vietnamiennes (ri et mia). In: 10ème Journées des Sciences Vétérinaires.
- Moula, N., P.K. Dang, F. Farnir, V.D. Ton, D.V. Binh, P. Leroy and N. Antoine-Moussiaux (2012). The ri chicken breed and livelihoods in north vietnam: Characterization and prospects. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics (JARTS)*, 112(1): 57 - 69.
- My, H. N, T. N. Tuan, A. and N. Duy. X. (2010). Chemical composition and amino acid content of chicken egg white. *Journal of Sciences and Development*, 4(8): 693 - 697.
- Phan Dang, T., M. Peyre, T. Vu Dinh, F. Roger, J.-F. Renard and S. Desvaux (2009). Characteristics of poultry production systems and cost-benefit analysis of mass vaccination campaign against hpai in poultry production systems in long an province, south vietnam. *Journal of Science and Development*, 7 (English. Iss. 1).
- SAS. (1989). Sas/stat. User's guide, version 6, 4th edition. Cary, NC: SAS Institute.
- Thieu, P. C., V. Su, V, H. Tieu, V, and L. Thi Hong, T. (2004). Report biological characteristics and production capabilities of chicken te. In: Workshop conservation of livestock gene fund 1990 - 2004. National Institute of animal sciences, Hanoi, Vietnam, pp. 133 - 144.
- Thieu, P. C., V. Su, V, and H. Son, L. (2004). Conserve, selective and develop of H'mong chicken. In: Workshop conservation of livestock gene fund 1990 - 2004. National Institute of animal sciences, Hanoi, Vietnam: pp. 145 - 152.
- Thuy, L. T. (2010). Determine the genetic variation of local breeds. National institute of nimal sciences, Hanoi, Vietnam, pp. 105.
- Tieu, H. V. (2009). Conservation and exploitation of animal genetic resources in vietnam. National institute of nimal sciences, Hanoi, Vietnam, pp. 15 - 20.
- Vang, N., D, T. Xuan, C, P. Tien, D, L. Nga, T, and N. Hung, M. (1999). Possibility of mia chicken production in farming thuy phuong. *Magazine poultry*, 8: 35 - 39.